INTRODUCTION

Siganids are a well-known and highly esteemed commodity in the Western Pacific. In the Philippines, adult siganids are caught in large quantities and sold fresh or cut open and dried (Bagarinao et al. 1986), while juvenile siganids or “padas” are often used as raw material for fermented fish products such as fish pastes or “bagoong” (Lam 1974). “Bagoong” is a famous delicacy highly sought after both by locals and tourists alike. Among the variety of "bagoong" products existing locally, the "bagoong" from Pangasinan, popularly known as “Lingayen bagoong”, is often regarded as the best "bagoong" in the Philippines. Given the high demand for the product and the fact that the raw material is cheap, seasonally plentiful, and easily captured with the use of simple fishing gear (Ruddle 1993), juvenile resources, including siganid fry, become highly vulnerable to overfishing (Bell 1999, Soliman et al. 2009). In view of this, proper management of fry fisheries is important, so as to avoid stock depletion which will be detrimental to the fisheries as a whole (Soliman et al. 2009).

Species identification is a crucial step in the implementation of fisheries management efforts since the effectiveness of conservation and protection relies on the correct identification of the resource to be conserved (Nwani et al. 2011). In the case of “padas”, distinguishing the fry of the many species of Siganus spp. has been difficult and employing meristic and morphological identification is of little help (Bagarinao et al. 1986). Although it is already known that “padas” is siganid fry, no literature exists that clearly defines its exact identity at the species level.
Given the limitations of the conventional methods, DNA barcoding arises as a powerful tool in providing a clear and accurate determination of the true identity of the fish. Its foundation lies on the principle that species are generally well-defined by species-specific molecular tags, like the one derived from the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase 1 (CO1) gene which allows unambiguous identifications (Hebert et al. 2003, Hubert et al. 2008). DNA barcoding not only enables detection of mislabeled products, but also assists in managing fisheries for long term sustainability (Ward et al. 2009). Specifically in the Philippines, DNA barcoding has been used in the identification of juvenile tunas (Pedrosa-Gerasmio et al. 2012), in resolving identity issues regarding sardine species (Willette and Santos 2013, Willette et al. 2011), and in detecting mislabeled fish and fish by-products (Maralit et al. 2013).

In this study, we report that “padas” from a fermented fish paste “Cristal Sky Bagoong” bought from Pozorrubio, Pangasinan is composed of at least two species of Sigamus, namely S. fuscescens, and S. spinus. Our results highlight the importance of species identification to help in formulating effective management and monitoring strategies in the country. By identifying the species of the fish in “padas”, this study also raises our awareness of juvenile fishery resources. Further, our study shows that DNA barcoding is a powerful tool in identifying fish species even in fermented form.

**METHODOLOGY**

The “padas” sample came from one bottle of the fermented fish product labeled “Cristal Sky Bagoong”, which was obtained from a highway vendor in Pozorrubio, Pangasinan (Figure 1). Muscle tissues of about 150 mg were obtained from 26 randomly selected samples, fixed in 95% ethanol, and stored at -20 °C until DNA extraction. DNA extraction was based on the method of Doyle and Doyle (1987) with modifications by Santos et al. (2010). The concentration of the DNA extracts was measured using a Nanophotometer, with concentrations ranging from 144 to 148 ng/ul relative to the elusion buffer. An approximately 600bp fragment of the CO1 was amplified using PCR with primers C_FishF1t1 and C_FishR1t1 from Ivanova et al. (2007). The PCR amplicons were sent to Macrogen Korea for sequencing. Sequence analysis was done by the construction of a phylogenetic tree via MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011), inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987) and the Maximum-Likelihood method (Kimura 1980), as well as by computing pairwise genetic distances using Kimura-2 parameters from sample sequences and reference sequences from GenBank. Generated CO1 sequences of “padas” were submitted to BOLD with the following accession numbers: B2 (BFPHL087-13), B3 (BFPHL088-13), B4 (BFPHL089-13), B5 (BFPHL090-13), B6 (BFPHL091-13), B7 (BFPHL092-13), B8 (BFPHL093-13), B9 (BFPHL094-13), B11 (BFPHL095-13), B12 (BFPHL096-13), B14 (BFPHL097-13), B16 (BFPHL098-13), B17 (BFPHL099-13), B18 (BFPHL100-13), B19 (BFPHL101-13), B21 (BFPHL102-13), B22 (BFPHL103-13), B23 (BFPHL104-13), B24 (BFPHL105-13), B25 (BFPHL106-13) and B26 (BFPHL107-13), and then compared with adult siganid sequences generated by NFRDI and submitted to BOLD with the following accession numbers: SFU01 (BFPHL108-13), SFU02

![Figure 1. “Padas” from fermented fish paste with brand name “Crytal Sky Bagoong” bought from Pozorrubio, Pangasinan.](image)

![Figure 2. Representative image of “padas” sampled from the fermented fish paste product “Cristal Sky Bagoong” from Pozorrubio, Pangasinan.](image)
**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 26 “padas” samples were processed for partial CO1 sequencing, 21 of which yielded high quality DNA sequences and were subsequently analyzed. This suggests that the DNA extraction methodology via CTAB extraction buffer (Doyle and Doyle (1987) with modifications by Santos et al. (2010)) that was used in this study is appropriate for extracting DNA from fermented samples and can thus be used for future studies with the same nature of sample source.

The CO1 sequences generated from the “padas” samples appeared to be identical with sequences of the same gene region in *S. fuscescens* and *S. spinus* (90.4% and 9.6% of the samples, respectively), based on data obtained from reference samples that are available in GenBank. Both the Neighbor-Joining and Maximum-Likelihood trees (Figures 2 and 3) showed a big cluster comprised of 19 “padas” samples and the *S. fuscescens* reference sequences. The second and much smaller clade contains the other two “padas” samples and the *S. spinus* reference sequences. Low values of intraspecific pairwise distances of 19 samples from pairing with *S. fuscescens* (average of 0.008) and two samples from pairing with *S. spinus* (0.016) was also observed (Table 1), which corroborates the results generated from the tree analysis. An additional analysis using the BOLD database Animal Identification also identified the samples B2 and B9 CO1 sequences as 100% and 98.11% identical with the CO1 sequences of *S. spinus*, respectively. These data suggest that the “padas” being sold in Pangasinan, particularly “Cristal Sky Bagoong”, is made from these juvenile siganid species.

Fermented fish products like “bagoong” are made from inexpensive and abundant raw materials.
These are small and of low economic value, but are seasonally abundant and are easily captured with rather simple gear (Ruddle 1993) so that they are readily available in large quantities. Juvenile species that are made into these products are therefore highly vulnerable to exploitation. Also, their capture method in the form of bagnets is fairly easy and inexpensive, hence making them very prone to overfishing. The results presented here have significant implications on issues regarding assessments of successful conservation efforts and the formulation of effective management strategies, as well as on issues regarding food labeling.
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Table 1. Mean values of pairwise genetic distances (expressed in percentage) within and among clades formed by Neighbor-Joining and Maximum-Likelihood tree analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species clades</th>
<th>Ave. within clades (%)</th>
<th>Ave. among clades (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.fuorescens</td>
<td>S.spinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.fuorescens</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.spinus</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.argenteus</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.sutor</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.guttatus</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.vulpinus</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.virgatus</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.puellus</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.javanus</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.unicornis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>